

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the Interview Summary mailed on February 2, 2006, (Paper No./Mail Date 20060131).

Applicant first wish to express their sincere appreciation for the time that Examiner Lugo spent with Applicant's Representative, Karen G. Hazzah, during a telephone discussion on January 31, 2006 regarding the then outstanding final Office Action. The interview participants discussed exemplary claim 34 and the *Tzannes* reference. The Examiner explained his interpretation of how *Tzannes* disclosed the features of claim 34. The Examiner also suggested that claim language similar to that used in claim 39 could be used to distinguish *Tzannes*.

Applicant also notes that the Interview Summary uses the terms "prior art." However, Applicant did not, and does not currently, admit that the cited references are actually prior art in the present application. Consequently, Applicant contends that the interview did not result in the presently pending claims being any narrower than is explicitly stated in the claim language itself.

CONCLUSION

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,



Karen G. Hazzah, Reg. No. 48,472

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**
Suite 1750
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(770)933-9500